

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/813,753	03/26/2004	Lawrence P. Sydow	7005-67235	5270
24197 7	590 03/31/2005		EXAM	INER
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP 121 SW SALMON STREET			HAYES, BRET C	
SUITE 1600	ION BIREE!		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PORTLAND, OR 97204			3644	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
\bigvee	10/813,753	SYDOW, LAWRENCE P.	
○ Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bret C Hayes	3644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	
eriod for Reply	EDLY IO OFT TO EVOIDE 4 M	IONTUVO) FDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in the control of this a reply within the statutory minimum of this arold will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Ali	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
atus			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	24 November 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
sposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-28</u> is/are pending in the a	nolication	,	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1 and 3-28 are subject to restriction	on and/or election requiremen	t.	
pplication Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	ninor		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· ·	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
		2440() () () ()	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	ante have been received		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum2. Certified copies of the priority docum		polication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			
application from the International Bu		10001700 iii tillo Hatioriai Otage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.	
tachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/813,753

Art Unit: 3644

...١

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - a. a fish attractant dispensing apparatus comprising a fishing line a receiving passageway, and having end portions sized to receive one another—the end portions being likened in the claims to lips, tubular projections, components, etc.;
 - b. a fish attractant dispensing apparatus comprising a fishing line a receiving passageway, and having open positions including a plurality of open positions; and
 - c. a fish attractant dispensing apparatus comprising a fishing line a receiving passageway, and having visual indicia;
- 2. No claims appear generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising inventions a c above. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,753

Art Unit: 3644

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,753 Page 4

Art Unit: 3644

. . .

3. A telephone call was made to David P. Peterson on 11 MAR 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bret C Hayes whose telephone number is (703) 306-0553. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 am to 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri P Luu can be reached on (703) 305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bh 3/11/05 TERI PHAM LUU SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER